

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants:	Joergen Hansen et al.	)	Confirmation No.	5908
		)		
Serial No.:	10/561,823	)	Art Unit:	1636
		)		
Filed:	December 19, 2005	)	Examiner:	Michele K. Joike
		)		
Title:	Method of producing a low	)		
	molecular weight organic	)		
	compound in a cell	)		
		)		

---

**COMMENTS ON INTERVIEW SUMMARY,  
AND EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT  
PROVIDED WITH NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE**

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the allowance of claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 19 and 21 in the above identified application. Applicants further acknowledge, with appreciation, the Examiner-Issued Interview Summary and the Examiner's Amendment accompanying the Notice of Allowance of July 27, 2010.

Applicants hereby confirm that the changes in the Examiner's Amendment are acceptable to Applicants. Applicants hereby confirm that the substance of the interview in the Examiner's Interview Summary. Applicants express no disagreement with either.

However, Applicants do not necessarily agree with, or acquiesce to, any statements regarding the scope of the allowed claims as any such statements might assertedly be interpreted from the Examiner-Issued Interview Summary, the Examiner's Amendment, or made by the Examiner in any part of the prosecution of this application. Applicants state for the record that any such statements are not intended to, or have the direct or indirect effect of, limiting the scope of the presently allowed claims, explicitly or implicitly, by stating or implying that the reasons for patentability are in any way

fully enumerated. There may be a number of other reasons for allowability, which have not been listed, whether in the Examiner-Issued Interview Summary, the Examiner's Amendment, or otherwise. Applicants do not acquiesce or agree as to any assertion in the Examiner-Issued Interview Summary, the Examiner's Amendment, or other prosecution history, or any interpretation thereof, that may be interpreted to narrow the claims to less than their recited and/or intended scope following the Examiner's Amendment, either literally or under any doctrine of equivalents.

Applicants also do not necessarily agree with, or acquiesce to, any interpretation, characterization, rejection, or application of any art of record cited during the prosecution of this patent application, other than agreeing with the allowability of the presently claimed subject matter. Applicants reserve the right to traverse any and all such interpretations, characterizations, rejections, or applications at any time.

If there are any questions, please contact the undersigned attorney of record.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: October 27, 2010

/peterbscull/

Peter B. Scull, Reg. No. 37,932  
Attorney for Applicant

BERENBAUM WEINSHIENK PC  
370 Seventeenth St., Suite 4800  
Denver, CO 80202  
+1 303 825 0800